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COMMENT

Revenue and Taxation—Unrelated Business Income of Tax-Exempt Organizations 525

The "destination of income" theory has spawned a plethora of Treasury Regulations, Revenue Rulings, and case law. Although its origin can be traced to the Income Tax Act of 1913, the complexity of the tests used to define an "exempt organization" has been markedly felt since the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. Beginning with the avowed purpose of competitive equalization, this comment examines the current expressions of that purpose—the factors of causality, commerciality, and regularity—in an attempt to link them positively to the existence or promotion of unfair competition with private enterprise.

CASE NOTES


BOOK REVIEWS

Falk: The Six Legal Dimensions of the Vietnam War
By DANIEL P. COMAN 637

Gardner: The Unlawful Concert
By MICHAEL I. SPAK 640

BOOKS NOTED 644

BOOKS RECEIVED 649