

Table of Contents

DePaul College of Law

Follow this and additional works at: <https://via.library.depaul.edu/law-review>

Recommended Citation

DePaul College of Law, *Table of Contents*, 9 DePaul L. Rev. (1959)
Available at: <https://via.library.depaul.edu/law-review/vol9/iss1/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Law at Digital Commons@DePaul. It has been accepted for inclusion in DePaul Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons@DePaul. For more information, please contact digitalservices@depaul.edu.

DE PAUL LAW REVIEW

Volume IX

AUTUMN-WINTER 1959

Number 1

CONTENTS

FEDERAL MEDIATION: HOW IT WORKS	1
By JOSEPH F. FINNEGAN	
CRITIQUE OF THE FELLOWS-KIMBROUGH RULE	19
By LESLIE H. VOGEL and ROBERT K. LOCK	
AMICUS CURIAE: FRIEND OF THE COURT	30
By FRANK M. COVEY, JR.	
COMMENTS	
The Trend toward Elimination of Governmental Immunity in Illinois	39
Movie Censorship Standards under the First Amendment	44
Some Aspect of Pleading under the Jones Act	51
Developments in "Right-To-Counsel" Cases	65
The Port District: General Considerations of an Important Type of Municipal Corporation, with Emphasis on Illinois Districts	74
CASE NOTES	
<i>Constitutional Law</i> —Statute Providing for Fine for Failure To Admit Health Inspector into Dwelling without Warrant Held Constitu- tional—Frank v. Maryland, 359 U.S. 360 (1959)	81
<i>Criminal Law</i> —Probation by Federal Court Does Not Preclude Crimi- nal Jurisdiction over Probationer by State Courts—Stewart v. United States, 267 F.2d 378 (C.A. 10th, 1959)	85
<i>Domestics</i> —Presumption of Legitimacy Not Overcome by Non-Ac- cess until 232 Days Prior to Birth in Wedlock—Holder v. Holder, 340 P.2d 761 (Utah, 1959)	89
<i>Evidence</i> —Juror Obtaining Information on "Arcing" by Reading Book on Electricity during Trial Held Reversible Error—Thomas v. Kan- sas Power & Light Co., 340 P.2d 379 (Kan., 1959)	91

Now Available

**A MONUMENTAL and completely new publication
in the field of labor relations law**

SHEPARD'S FEDERAL LABOR LAW CITATIONS

**A COMPREHENSIVE COMPILATION OF CITATIONS TO
Decisions and Orders of the National Labor Relations Board
United States Supreme Court Decisions in Labor Cases
Lower Federal Court Decisions in Labor Cases
Labor Provisions in United States Code**

AS CITED IN

**Decisions and Orders of the National Labor Relations Board
United States Supreme Court Reports (Three Editions)
Federal Reporter Federal Supplement Federal Rules Decisions
All State Reports All Units of the National Reporter System
with cross references to any reports or digests of same cases in
Court Decisions Relating to the National Labor Relations Act
American Labor Cases Labor Arbitration Reports Labor Cases
Labor Relations Reference Manual Wage and Hour Cases
Labor Law Reports Labor Relations Reporter
Labor Equipment**

ALSO AS CITED IN

**Labor Relations Periodicals Numerous Law Reviews
Annotations in American Law Reports
Annotations in Lawyers' Edition, United States Supreme Court
Reports**

For descriptive brochure, write

**Shepard's Citations
COLORADO SPRINGS
COLORADO**

<i>Federal Procedure</i> —“Spurious Class” Plaintiffs Allowed To Intervene after Clayton Act Liability for Treble Damages Was Fixed—State Wholesale Grocers v. Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., 136 CCH Trade Reg. Rep. 53 (N.D. Ill., 1959)	95
<i>Labor Law</i> —“Agency Shop” Clause Not Violative of Right-To-Work Laws unless Expressly Prohibited—Meade Electric Co. v. Hagbert, 159 N.E.2d 408 (Ind. App., 1959)	99
<i>Labor Law</i> —Union Shop Clause Violates Freedom of Speech Where Funds Used for Ideological Purposes—International Assn. of Machinists v. Street, 108 S.E.2d 796 (Ga., 1959)	103
<i>Procedure</i> —Foreign Corporation Held Not Subject to Illinois Jurisdiction under Civil Practice Act, Section Seventeen, unless Physically Present When “Doing Business” in Illinois—Grobarck v. Addo Machine Co., 16 Ill. 2d 426, 158 N.E.2d 73 (1959)	106
<i>Real Property</i> —Negative Reciprocal Easement Held Retroactive Where Common Grantor Reacquired Lot Originally Conveyed without Restriction for Collusive Purpose—Cook v. Bandeen, 96 N.W.2d 743 (Mich., 1959)	112
<i>Torts</i> —Defense of Absolute Privilege Held Available to Officers of the Executive Branch below Department Head Level—Howard v. Lyons, 360 U.S. 593 (1959); Barr v. Matteo, 360 U.S. 564 (1959)	115

BOOK REVIEW

Garland: American-Brazilian Private International Law By ALBERT A. VAIL	122
--	-----

Subscription price, \$3.00 a year
Single copies, \$1.50

Published semiannually by De Paul University College of Law
25 E. Jackson Boulevard, Chicago 4, Illinois

Copyright 1959 by De Paul University