

Index to Volume 38

DePaul College of Law

Follow this and additional works at: <https://via.library.depaul.edu/law-review>

Recommended Citation

DePaul College of Law, *Index to Volume 38*, 38 DePaul L. Rev. 1173 (1989)
Available at: <https://via.library.depaul.edu/law-review/vol38/iss4/10>

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Law at Via Sapientiae. It has been accepted for inclusion in DePaul Law Review by an authorized editor of Via Sapientiae. For more information, please contact digitalservices@depaul.edu.

INDEX TO VOLUME 38

I. TABLE OF ARTICLES BY AUTHOR	1173
II. TABLE OF ARTICLES BY TITLE	1173
III. STUDENT COMMENTS	1174
IV. STUDENT NOTES	1174
V. SUBJECT INDEX	1175

TABLE OF ARTICLES BY AUTHOR

BASSIOUNI, M. CHERIF, <i>A Century of Dedication to Criminal Justice and Human Rights: The International Association of Penal Law and The Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences</i>	899
BRADLEY, GERARD V., <i>The Constitutional Theory of the Fourth Amendment</i>	817
COHEN, LLOYD R. and ALORE, THOMAS J., <i>Determining the Efficiency of Horizontal Mergers: An Examination of the Abandoned Coca-Cola/Dr. Pepper and Pepsi/7-Up Mergers of 1986</i>	411
DAVIS, PETER L., <i>The Crime Victim's "Right" To a Criminal Prosecution: A Proposed Model Statute for the Governance of Private Criminal Prosecutions</i>	329
DECKER, JOHN F., <i>"Last Chance" State Judicial Review in Criminal Cases—Illinois' Collateral Attack Remedies: A Call for a Principled Jurisprudence</i>	201
FARRUO, EUGENE J., <i>The Necessity of Expert Testimony in Establishing the Standard of Care for Design Professionals</i>	873
GEORGE, B.J., JR., <i>The Relations Between the Organizations of the Judiciary and Criminal Procedure</i>	967
GOODMAN, ROBERT D., <i>In Sickness or in Health: The Right to Marry and the Case of HIV Antibody Testing</i>	87
KIPP, MATTHEW, <i>Piercing the Veil of the Amoco Cadiz Decision: Judge Posner's Jurisdictional Analysis Runs Aground</i>	703
KOENIG, DOREEN M., <i>The International Association of Penal Law, United States Report on Topic II: Modern Biomedical Techniques</i>	1013
KRATOVIL, ROBERT, <i>Mortgage Lender Liability—Construction Loans</i>	43
LEVENSTAM, BARRY and LAPP, DARYL J., <i>Plaintiff's Burden of Proving Enhanced Injury in Crashworthiness Cases: A Clash Worthy of Analysis</i>	55
MARGUILES, PETER, <i>Stranger and Afraid: Undocumented Workers and Federal Employment Law</i>	553
POLELLE, MICHAEL J., <i>Accountants' Privy Shield: An Illinois Mistake?</i>	685
SANCHEZ, JOHN E., <i>Jury Trials in Hybrid and Non-hybrid Actions: The Equitable Clean-Up Doctrine in the Guise of Inseparability and Other Analytical Problems</i>	627
WISE, EDWARD M., <i>International Crimes and Domestic Criminal Law</i>	923
YONOVER, GERI J., <i>Ascertaining State Law: The Continuing Erie Dilemma</i>	1

TABLE OF ARTICLES BY TITLE

A CENTURY OF DEDICATION TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND HUMAN RIGHTS: THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PENAL LAW AND THE INSTITUTE OF HIGHER STUDIES IN CRIMINAL SCIENCES <i>M. Cherif Bassiouni</i>	899
ACCOUNTANTS' PRIVY SHIELD: AN ILLINOIS MISTAKE?, <i>Michael J. Polelle</i>	685
ASCERTAINING STATE LAW: THE CONTINUING ERIE DILEMMA, <i>Geri Yonover</i>	1
THE CONSTITUTIONAL THEORY OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT, <i>Gerard V. Bradley</i>	817
THE CRIME VICTIM'S "RIGHT" TO A CRIMINAL PROSECUTION: A PROPOSED MODEL STATUTE FOR THE GOVERNANCE OF PRIVATE CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS, <i>Peter L. Davis</i>	329

DETERMINING THE EFFICIENCY OF HORIZONTAL MERGERS: AN EXAMINATION OF THE ABANDONED COCA-COLA/DR. PEPPER AND PEPSI/7-UP MERGERS OF 1986, <i>Lloyd R. Cohen and Thomas J. Alore</i>	411
IN SICKNESS OR IN HEALTH: THE RIGHT TO MARRY AND THE CASE OF HIV ANTIBODY TESTING, <i>Robert D. Goodman</i>	87
THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PENAL LAW, UNITED STATES REPORT ON TOPIC II: MODERN BIOMEDICAL TECHNIQUES, <i>Doreen M. Koenig</i>	1013
INTERNATIONAL CRIMES AND DOMESTIC CRIMINAL LAW, <i>Edward M. Wise</i>	923
JURY TRIALS IN HYBRID AND NON-HYBRID ACTIONS: THE EQUITABLE CLEAN-UP DOCTRINE IN THE GUISE OF INSEPARABILITY AND OTHER ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS, <i>John E. Sanchez</i>	627
"LAST CHANCE" STATE JUDICIAL REVIEW IN CRIMINAL CASES—ILLINOIS' COLLATERAL ATTACK REMEDIES: A CALL FOR A PRINCIPLE JURISPRUDENCE, <i>John F. Decker</i>	201
MORTGAGE LENDER LIABILITY—CONSTRUCTION LOANS, <i>Robert Kratovil</i>	43
THE NECESSITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY IN ESTABLISHING THE STANDARD OF CARE FOR DESIGN PROFESSIONALS, <i>Eugene J. Farrug</i>	873
PIERCING THE VEIL OF THE AMOCO CADIZ DECISION: JUDGE POSNER'S JURISDICTIONAL ANALYSIS RUNS AGROUND, <i>Matthew Kipp</i>	703
PLAINTIFF'S BURDEN OF PROVING ENHANCED INJURY IN CRASHWORTHINESS CASES: A CLASH WORTHY OF ANALYSIS, <i>Barry Levenstam and Daryl J. Lapp</i>	55
THE RELATIONS BETWEEN THE ORGANIZATIONS OF THE JUDICIARY AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE AIDP, <i>B.J. George, Jr.</i>	967
STRANGER AND AFRAID: UNDOCUMENTED WORKERS AND FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT LAW, <i>Peter Marguiles</i>	553

STUDENT COMMENTS

CONTROVERSIAL PROGRAMMING ON CABLE TELEVISION'S PUBLIC ACCESS CHANNELS: THE LIMITS OF GOVERNMENTAL RESPONSE	105
THE SUPREME COURT'S TRILOGY OF REGULATORY TAKINGS: <i>Keystone, Glendale and Nollan</i>	441

STUDENT NOTES

GOOD FAITH, REASONABLENESS—AND THE LESSON OF <i>Maryland v. Garrison</i> : KNOW THY NEIGHBOR	517
<i>Kirk v. Michael Reese Hosp. & Medical Center</i> : THE TREATMENT OF A THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFF IN A MEDICAL CONTEXT	749
<i>Pennwalt Corp. v. Durand-Wayland, Inc.</i> —THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT REDEFINES THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS	789
THEY FIGHT TO PROTECT OUR RIGHTS; SHOULDN'T WE DO THE SAME FOR THEM? INTRAMILITARY IMMUNITY IN LIGHT OF <i>United States v. Stanley</i>	127
<i>Tinker to Fraser to Hazelwood</i> —SUPREME COURT'S DOUBLE PLAY COMBINATION DEFEATS HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS' RALLY FOR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS: <i>Hazelwood School Dist. v. Kuhlmeier</i>	487
<i>United States v. Salerno</i> : THE BAIL REFORMS ACT IS HERE TO STAY	765
ILLINOIS GIVES GREEN LIGHT TO FALSE LIGHT: <i>Berkos v. NBC, Inc.</i>	1121

SUBJECT INDEX

ANTITRUST

- Determining the Efficiency of Horizontal Mergers: An Examination of the Abandoned Coca-Cola/Dr. Pepper and Pepsi/7-Up Mergers of 1986 411

COMMUNICATIONS LAW

- Controversial Programming on Cable Television's Public Access Channels: The Limits of Governmental Response 1051

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

- The Constitutional Theory of the Fourth Amendment 817
- In Sickness or in Health: The Right to Marry and the Case of HIV Antibody Testing 87
- They Fight to Protect Our Rights; Shouldn't We Do the Same for Them? Intramilitary Immunity in Light of *United States v. Stanley* 127
- Tinker to Fraser to Hazelwood*—Supreme Court's Double Play Combination Defeats High School Students' Rally for First Amendment Rights: *Hazelwood School Dist. v. Kuhlmeier* 487

CRIMINAL LAW

- A Century of Dedication to Criminal Justice and Human Rights: The International Association of Penal Law and the Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences 899
- The Constitutional Theory of the Fourth Amendment 817
- The Crime Victim's "Right" To a Criminal Prosecution: A Proposed Model Statute for the Governance of Private Criminal Prosecutions 329
- Good Faith, Reasonableness—and the Lesson of *Maryland v. Garrison*: Know Thy Neighbor 517
- International Crimes and Domestic Criminal Law 923

- "Last Chance" State Judicial Review in Criminal Cases—Illinois' Collateral Attack Remedies: A Call for a Principled Jurisprudence 201
- The Relations Between the Organizations of the Judiciary and Criminal Procedure 967
- United States v. Salerno*: The Bail Reform Act is Here to Stay 165

ECONOMICS

- Determining the Efficiency of Horizontal Mergers: An Examination of the Abandoned Coca-Cola/Dr. Pepper and Pepsi/7-Up Mergers of 1986 411

EMPLOYMENT LAW

- Jury Trials in Hybrid and Non-hybrid Actions: The Equitable Clean-Up Doctrine in the Guise of Inseparability and Other Analytical Problems 627
- Stranger and Afraid: Undocumented Workers and Federal Employment Law 553

EXPERT TESTIMONY

- The Necessity of Expert Testimony in Establishing the Standard of Care for Design Professionals 873

GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY

- They Fight to Protect Our Rights; Shouldn't We Do the Same for Them? Intramilitary Immunity in Light of *United States v. Stanley* 127

HEALTH LAW

- In Sickness or in Health: The Right to Marry and the Case of HIV Antibody Testing 87
- The International Association of Penal Law, United States Report on Topic II: Modern Biomedical Techniques 1013
- Kirk v. Michael Reese Hosp. & Medical Center*: The Treatment of a Third Party Plaintiff in a Medical Context 749

IMMIGRATION

Stranger and Afraid: Undocumented Workers and Federal Employment Law 553

INTERNATIONAL LAW

A Century of Dedication to Criminal Justice and Human Rights: The International Association of Penal Law and the Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences 899

The International Association of Penal Law, United States Report on Topic II: Modern Biomedical Techniques 1013

International Crimes and Domestic Criminal Law 923

The Relations Between the Organizations of the Judiciary and Criminal Procedure 967

JURISDICTION

Ascertaining State Law: The Continuing *Erie* Dilemma 1

Piercing the Veil of the *Amoco Cadiz* Decision: Judge Posner's Jurisdictional Analysis Runs Aground 703

PATENT LAW

Pennwalt Corp. v. Durand-Wayland, Inc.—The Federal Circuit Redefines the Doctrine of Equivalents 789

PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY

Mortgage Lender Liability—Construction Loans 43

The Necessity of Expert Testimony in Establishing the Standard of Care for Design Professionals 873

Accountants' Privity Shield: An Illinois Mistake? 685

PRODUCTS LIABILITY

Plaintiff's Burden of Proving Enhanced Injury in Crashworthiness Cases: A Clash Worthy of Analysis 55

PROPOSED STATUTES

The Crime Victim's "Right" To a Criminal Prosecution: A Proposed Model Statute for the Governance of Private Criminal Prosecutions 329

"Last Chance" State Judicial Review in Criminal Cases—Illinois' Collateral Attack Remedies: A Call for a Principled Jurisprudence 201

PROPERTY LAW

The Supreme Court's Trilogy of Regulatory Takings: *Keystone, Glendale* and *Nollan* 441

TORT LAW

Illinois Gives Green Light to False Light: *Berkos v. NBC, Inc.* . . 1121

CORRECTION PAGE
VOLUME 38, NUMBER 1

Volume 38, Number 1, page 61 should read as follows:

1989] *THE CRASHWORTHINESS DOCTRINE* 61

Most jurisdictions now have adopted the *Larsen—Dreisonstok* view of a manufacturer's liability for enhanced injuries suffered in a second collision.³¹ There is now precedent adopting *Evans* in only one state,³² while thirty seven states and the District of Columbia follow *Larsen*.³³

31. See Harris, *supra* note 1, at 644; Ropiequet, *Current Issues Under the 'Second Collision' Doctrine*, For The Def., Oct. 1983, at 12, 14; Note, *Litigating Enhanced Injury Cases*, *supra* note 3, at 1257. Although *Larsen* itself was a negligence case, "[i]n the wake of *Larsen*, courts have recognized crashworthiness to be actionable in negligence, strict liability and breach of warranty." Duran v. General Motors Corp., 101 N.M. 742, 688 P.2d 779, 782 (Ct. App. 1983) (citing Annotation, *Liability of Manufacturer, Seller, or Distributor of Motor Vehicle for Defect Which Merely Enhances Injury From Accident Otherwise Caused*, 42 A.L.R.3d 560 (1972)).

32. West Virginia—McClung v. Ford Motor Co., 335 F. Supp. 17 (S.D.W.Va. 1971), *aff'd*, 472 F.2d 240 (4th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 412 U.S. 940 (1973).

33. States following *Larsen* are: Arizona—Cota v. Harley Davidson, Inc., 141 Ariz. 7, 684 P.2d 888 (Ariz. App. 1984); California—Horn v. General Motors Corp., 17 Cal. 3d 359, 551 P.2d 398, 131 Cal. Rptr. 78 (1976); Colorado—Roberts v. May, 41 Colo. App. 82, 583 P.2d 305 (1978); District of Columbia—Knippen v. Ford Motor Co., 546 F.2d 993 (D.C. Cir. 1976); Florida—Ford Motor Co. v. Evancho, 327 So. 2d 201 (Fla. 1976); Georgia—Friend v. General Motors Corp., 118 Ga. App. 763, 165 S.E.2d 734 (1968); Idaho—Farmer v. International Harvester Co., 97 Idaho 742, 533 P.2d 1306 (1976); Illinois—Buehler v. Whalen, 70 Ill. 2d 51, 374 N.E.2d 460 (1978); Indiana—Huff v. White Motor Corp., 565 F.2d 104 (7th Cir. 1977); Iowa—Passwaters v. General Motors Corp., 454 F.2d 1270 (8th Cir. 1972); Wernimont v. International Harvester Corp., 309 N.W.2d 137 (Iowa Ct. App. 1981); Kansas—Garst v. General Motors Corp., 207 Kan. 2, 484 P.2d 47 (1971); Kentucky—Wooten v. White Truck, 514 F.2d 634, (5th Cir. 1975); Louisiana—Perez v. Ford Motor Co., 497 F.2d 82 (5th Cir. 1974); Maryland—Volkswagen of Am., Inc. v. Young, 272 Md. 201, 321 A.2d 737 (1974); Massachusetts—Smith v. Ariens Co., 374 Mass. 620, 377 N.E.2d 954 (1978); Michigan—Rutherford v. Chrysler Motors Corp., 60 Mich. App. 392, 231 N.W.2d 413 (1975); Minnesota—Wagner v. International Harvester Co., 611 F.2d 224 (8th Cir. 1979); Mississippi—Toliver v. General Motors Corp., 482 So. 2d 213 (Miss. 1985); Missouri—Polk v. Ford Motor Co., 529 F.2d 259 (8th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 426 U.S. 907 (1976); Cryts v. Ford Motor Co., 571 S.W.2d 683 (Mo. Ct. App. 1978); Montana—Brandenburger v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., 162 Mont. 506, 513 P.2d 268 (1973); Nebraska—Friedrich v. Anderson, 191 Neb. 724, 217 N.W.2d 831 (1974); New Jersey—Huddell v. Levin, 395 F. Supp. 64 (D.N.J. 1975), *vacated on other grounds*, 537 F.2d 726 (3d Cir. 1976); New Mexico—Meil v. Piper Aircraft Corp., 658 F.2d 787 (10th Cir. 1981); New York—Bolm v. Triumph Corp., 33 N.Y.2d 151, 305 N.E.2d 769, 350 N.Y.S.2d 644 (1973); North Dakota—Johnson v. American Motors Corp., 225 N.W.2d 57 (N.D. 1974); Ohio—Leichthamer v. American Motors Corp., 67 Ohio St. 2d 456, 424 N.E.2d 568 (1981); Oklahoma—Lee v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc., 688 P.2d 1283 (Okla. 1984); Oregon—McMullen v. Volkswagen of Am., 274 Or. 83, 545 P.2d 117 (1976); Pennsylvania—Dyson v. General Motors Corp., 298 F. Supp. 1064 (E.D. Pa. 1969); Rhode Island—Turcott v. Ford Motor Co., 494 F.2d 173 (1st Cir. 1974); South Carolina—Mickle v. Blackmon, 252 S.C. 202, 166 S.E.2d 173 (1969); South Dakota—Engberg v. Ford Motor Co., 87 S.D. 196, 205 N.W.2d 104 (1973), *overruled on other grounds*, Smith v. Smith, 278 N.W.2d 155 (S.D. 1979); Tennessee—Ellithorpe v. Ford Motor Co., 503 S.W.2d 516 (Tenn. 1973); Texas—Turner v. General Motors Corp., 514 S.W.2d 497 (Tex. Ct. App. 1974); Virginia—Dreisonstok v. Volkswagenwerk, A.G., 489 F.2d 1066 (4th Cir. 1974); Washington—Baumgardner v. American Motors Corp., 83 Wash. 2d 751, 522 P.2d 829 (1974); Wisconsin—Arbet v. Gussarson, 66 Wis. 2d 551, 225 N.W.2d 431 (1975); and Wyoming—Chrysler Corp. v. Todorovich, 580 P.2d 1123 (Wyo. 1978).

Please note the change.

