

DePaul University
Digital Commons@DePaul

College of Science and Health Theses and Dissertations

College of Science and Health

Winter 3-22-2019

Implementation of LGBT+ Health Education for Master's Entry Nursing Students

Daniel R. Mead DePaul University, dmead@depaul.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://via.library.depaul.edu/csh_etd

Part of the Nursing Commons

Recommended Citation

Mead, Daniel R., "Implementation of LGBT+ Health Education for Master's Entry Nursing Students" (2019). *College of Science and Health Theses and Dissertations*. 325. https://via.library.depaul.edu/csh_etd/325

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Science and Health at Digital Commons@DePaul. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Science and Health Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@DePaul. For more information, please contact digitalservices@depaul.edu.

Implementation of LGBT+ Health Education for

Master's Entry Nursing Students

A Doctoral Research Project

Presented in

Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Nursing Practice

March, 2019

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

Daniel R. Mead

School of Nursing

College of Science and Health

DePaul University

Chicago, Illinois

We approve the doctoral project of Daniel Mead:

Matthew Sorenson, Ph.D., APRN, ANP-C, FAAN Professor and Director School of Nursing DePaul University Chair of Doctoral Committee

Sierra Ribero, D.N.P., CNM, WHNP-BC Assistant Professor School of Nursing DePaul University Member of Doctoral Committee

Kim Amer, Ph.D., RN

Associate Professor

School of Nursing

DePaul University

Member of Doctoral Committee

Abstract

Background: There is a paucity of evidence linking Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT+) health education with improvement in nursing students' knowledge, attitudes, and comfort of LGBT+ health considerations.

Methods: In a pre- and post-test study design, a total of 77 master's entry to nursing practice students completed the LGBT+ health educational module during the Community Health Nursing course.

Results: Statistically significant results were found between pre-test to post-test data for knowledge (p < .001, Cohen's d 2.52), attitudes (p < .001, Cohen's d 0.35), and comfort (p = .001, Cohen's d 0.31) of LGBT+ health considerations.

Conclusion: The LGBT+ health education module improved MENP students' attitudes and comfort with LGBT+ clients and markedly increased their knowledge of LGBT+ health considerations. Findings suggest LGBT+ health education can be implemented by nursing faculty in master's entry to nursing practice programs with a positive impact on student knowledge, attitudes, and comfort.

Implementation of LGBT+ Health Education for

Master's Entry Nursing Students

Background

Seven years ago, Gates (2012) reported almost nine million individuals in the United States identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender which made up roughly 3.4% of the population of the US. Research in the nursing field showed a lack of formal training, a lack of knowledge, and a need for implementation of LGBT+ health education into nursing curricula (Bosse, Nesteby, & Randall, 2015; Carabez, Pellegrini, Mankovitz, Eliason, Ciano, & Scott, 2015; Cornelius & Carrick, 2015; Dastan, 2013; Dinkel, Patzel, McGuire, Rolfs, & Purcell, 2007; Dorsen & Van Devanter, 2016; Lim & Hsu, 2016; Lim, Johnson, & Eliason, 2015; Sekoni, Gale, Manga-Atangana, Bhadhuri, & Jolly, 2017; Strong & Folse, 2015; Unlu, Beduk, & Duyan, 2016; Yingling, Cotler, & Hughes, 2017). Health professionals who are not specifically trained to handle LGBT+ health considerations may convey negative attitudes and create barriers to appropriate and sensitive care given to LGBT+ clients (Röndahl, 2009; Walker, Arbour, & Waryold, 2016). These barriers can lead to poor outcomes and possible avoidance of healthcare professionals by LGBT+ clients in fear of sub-par care and discrimination.

Nurses are often the front-line healthcare professionals for anyone accessing the healthcare system and need to be educated to work with the specific health considerations of this population. Educational intervention is the first step in the field of nursing practice to assist in giving appropriate and sensitive care for this population. Evidence in the literature links educational interventions with a positive impact on nursing student knowledge of LGBT+ health, attitudes towards LGBT+ clients, and comfort with LGBT+ clients (Carabez et al., 2015;

Cornelius & Carrick, 2015; Strong & Folse, 2015). Furthermore, Carabez et al., (2015) found the majority of participants in their educational research desired further LGBT+ health education.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework underpinning the design and efficacy of LGBT+ health education for master's entry to nursing practice students includes both Campinha-Bacote's Process of Cultural Competence and Bloom's Domains of Learning. Campinha-Bacote's process model was refined to focus on cultural knowledge, cultural awareness, and cultural skill leading to cultural competence of LGBT+ health considerations. This adapted process is shown as Figure 1 of Appendix B. The LGBT+ health educational intervention was adapted and designed to encompass LGBT+ knowledge, awareness of health considerations, and a case study to improve cultural skill with LGBT+ clients. Using the educational intervention to focus on the components of cultural awareness, cultural knowledge, and cultural skill; foundational development of LGBT+ health cultural competence can be achieved in master's entry to nursing practice students.

Billings and Halstead (2016) outlined the three major domains of learning according to Bloom: the psychomotor domain focusing on manual or physical skills, the cognitive domain with an emphasis on knowledge acquisition, and the affective domain which encompasses attitudes, feelings, and behaviors. The LGBT+ health considerations educational intervention emphasized the cognitive and affective domains by increasing LGBT+ health knowledge (cognitive) and improving attitudes and comfort (affective).

Literature Review

A current review of the literature shows efficacy of LGBT+ health education in general undergraduate nursing education. Further efficacy has been established from a single study in a graduate-level family nurse practitioner program. One study found efficacy with integration specifically into a health assessment course in an undergraduate curriculum (Bosse et al., 2015). No studies currently show integration of LGBT+ health considerations into a community health nursing course in an MENP curriculum. This leaves a gap in research showing the effectiveness of LGBT+ health education for master's entry to nursing practice programs and the efficacy of using the education within a community health nursing course. Master's entry students have at least a bachelor's degree in another field, with many having had careers prior to starting the program. It is possible these individuals have had more interactions with LGBT+ clients in past, leading to increased baseline knowledge, improved attitudes, and enhanced comfort. Given the gap in research and the uniqueness of the population of master's entry to nursing practice students, there is a need to find the efficacy of LGBT+ health education in this student population. This research study had one question: Can an LGBT+ health educational intervention improve nursing students' knowledge, attitudes, and comfort of LGBT+ health considerations in a master's entry to nursing practice program?

Conceptual definitions. Conceptual definitions were adapted from previously defined definitions in the literature. *Comfort* is defined as a general feeling of ease, free from grief, distress, pain, or constraint (Malinowski & Stamler, 2002; Oxford English Dictionary, 2004). *Attitude* is defined as a combination of mental thinking and nonverbal cues usually reflected in an individual's behavior (Dorsen, et al., 2016; Oxford English Dictionary, 2004). *Knowledge* is defined as an acquisition of facts, information, or skills acquired by an individual through

education or experience (Oxford English Dictionary, 2004). *Master's entry to nursing practice program (MENP)* is a pre-licensure registered nursing program for individuals with a bachelor's degree in another field.

Conceptual map. Pre-licensure master's level registered nursing students will experience change in regard to knowledge, attitudes, and comfort when exposed to the LGBT+ communities' health concerns.

Operational definition. The change in knowledge, attitudes, and comfort will be assessed by comparing scores from pre-test and post-test Likert-type items and true/false questionnaires. Instrumentation had been obtained and adapted from previous research to the specific needs of this research project. Much of the instrumentation in the literature assesses one or two of the dependent variables (comfort, attitudes, and knowledge). The adaption of the previously used instrument will make it possible to assess knowledge change, attitude change, and comfort change within a single instrument.

Methods

Educational Intervention. The lecture-style educational intervention was obtained and adapted with permission from the primary author of the original intervention (Yingling, Cotler, & Hughes, 2017). This educational intervention was integrated into a master's entry to nursing practice program within a community health nursing course. The community health nursing course is offered in quarter seven of an eight-quarter master's entry to nursing practice program. This educational intervention was implemented on both September 12, 2018 and January 16, 2019 to two different cohorts of students for a total N=78. This educational intervention was

approximately two hours in duration after subtracting the thirty minutes for data. The curricular outline of the educational intervention is listed in Table 1.

Instrumentation. Approval to use and adapt the Nursing Students' Knowledge and Attitudes of LGBT Health Concerns (NKALH) survey was obtained from the primary authors (Cornelius & Carrick, 2008). The NKALH was adapted for the purpose of this research study which included updates to terminology, separation of the attitudes and comfort sections, and the addition of items. The pre- and post-test surveys were examined by content experts with expertise in both LGBT+ issues and nursing education. This process provided content and face validity for the instrumentation. After adapting the instrument, there were 35 knowledge questions to measure the students' understanding of infectious disease epidemiology, chronic disease epidemiology, social epidemiology, terminology, disease screening, health insurance considerations, access to healthcare, nutrition, substance use, and domestic violence within the LGBT+ community. The choices for the knowledge questions had three possible answers: true, false, and 'don't know'. The attitudes section had 17 items examining students' attitudes towards LGBT+ clients and utilized 5-point Likert-type items ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The comfort section had a total of 13 items examining students' comfort with LGBT+ clients and LGBT+ health considerations. Comfort items also utilized 5-point Likert-type items ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The pre and post-test items were identical. Nine optional demographic and qualitative questions were added in the post-test encompassing age, gender, sexual orientation, race/ethnicity, religious identity, personal knowledge of LGBT+ clients in their life, if they felt the education had benefitted them, and how this education might benefit them as a future nurse.

8

Pilot of instrumentation. Prior to the intervention and data collection phase, a pilot test of the adapted instrument was performed to evaluate reliability. The adapted instrument was given to seven volunteers in a web-based format over the span of thirty minutes or less. The reliability of the knowledge instrument was analyzed with the Kuder-Richardson-20 (KR-20) test, after the answers were ultimately coded as either 1 = correct or 0 = incorrect, with the 'don't know' answers coded as 0. The KR-20 yielded a 0.765 value, which indicates acceptable reliability (Polit and Beck, 2017). The reliability of the attitudes and comfort instruments were examined using the Cronbach's alpha coefficient. The Cronbach's alpha values were 0.742 and 0.943 for attitudes and comfort, respectively. Overall, the attitudes and comfort sections were tested in combination with a Cronbach's Alpha statistic of 0.903 for the pilot.

Data Analysis. Data was gathered at two different points in time for a total N=78. One survey was excluded due to missing data leaving the total N=77 used for analysis. The demographic data from the study was gathered at the end of the post-test and was not mandatory. This data is summarized in Table 2. A new variable of multiple races and ethnicities was created for participants who identified as multiple races and/or ethnicities to streamline data analysis. In the religious identity section, individuals who answered 'other,' but wrote Catholic were recoded into 'Roman Catholic', as well as participants who answered 'other,' but wrote 'Christian' were recoded under the 'Protestant' category to clarify analysis. A total of four participants were recoded from 'other' into 'Protestant' and one participant from 'other' to 'Roman Catholic.' Furthermore, with the exclusion of gender, demographic variables were dichotomized to conduct further analysis.

Reverse coding was completed before analysis of the data. Eleven knowledge questions, eleven attitudes questions, and four comfort questions were reverse coded. Knowledge items

requiring reverse coding were the items where the correct answer was false. Comfort and attitudes items requiring reverse coding were inherently negative items on perceptions towards LGBT+ clients.

Reliability testing was also conducted on 77 responses obtained in the study. The KR-20 value was 0.738 for the knowledge instrument and the Cronbach's alpha values were 0.726, and 0.878 (Attitudes and comfort respectively). All independent demographic variables had assumptions tested through Shapiro-Wilk test of normality to help determine use of parametric and non-parametric statistical tests. All of the demographic variables violated assumptions of normal data therefore requiring use of non-parametric data analysis. The results of the tests of normality are outlined in Table 3.

Results

Demographics. The majority of the sample reported female gender (n=63, 81.8%), heterosexual orientation (n=70, 92.1%), Caucasian race (n=42, 57.5%), and Roman Catholic religion (n=37, 50.7%). The average age was 27.74 years and an age range of 24-48 years. All MENP student participants reported connection to a member of the LGBT+ community also reported finding the education useful to them as a future nurse.

Knowledge, Attitudes, and Comfort Data on LGBT+ Health Considerations. All inferential statistical analysis was conducted with an alpha level of 0.05. Knowledge was assessed from pre-test to post-test by adding all the correct answers from each pre-test and posttest survey linked by individual participant ID. New variables were created from existing data for each participant; Knowledge Comprehensive Pre-test (sum of correct answers), Knowledge Comprehensive Post-test (sum of correct answers), and Knowledge Comprehensive Change

IMPLEMENTATION OF LGBT+ HEALTH ED

(change from pre- to post-test sums). Higher values within each of the new variables of knowledge indicate higher levels of knowledge with possible scores ranging from 0 to 35. Knowledge data was analyzed utilizing a paired-t test with a significant result (p < 0.001, t - 17.93, mean pre-test 18.20, mean post-test 28.68). The effect size of the LGBT+ educational module on knowledge was large with Cohen's d value of 2.52 (Polit and Beck, 2017).

Attitude and comfort data were assessed from pre-test to post-test much like knowledge, though scores of the Likert-type items were added together creating a sum of data. Each participant would have an attitude sum score and a comfort sum score for both pre-test and posttest. Three new variables were created from existing data for each of the groups of items for attitudes and comfort: attitudes comprehensive pre-test (sum of Likert-type items), attitudes comprehensive post-test (sum of Likert-type items), attitudes change (change from pre- to posttest sums), comfort comprehensive pre-test (sum of Likert-type items), comfort comprehensive post-test (sum of Likert-type items), and comfort change (change from pre- to post-test sums). Unlike knowledge, scores from attitude and comfort were inversely correlated, meaning the lower the score, the higher the level of attitudes and comfort. Possible scores from attitudes ranged from 16 to 80 and comfort from 12 to 60. Attitudes and comfort pre-test and post-test data were also analyzed by using paired-t test with significant results for attitude change (p < p0.001, t 4.353, mean pre-test 31.99, mean post-test 29.92) and comfort change (p = 0.001, t 3.469, mean pre-test 22.21, mean post-test 20.30). Like knowledge, attitudes and comfort scores from pre-test to post-test underwent analysis to determine effect size with Cohen's d. Cohen's d was found to be 0.35 and 0.31 for attitudes and comfort score changes respectively. Statistically significant data is summarized in Table 4, and statistically non-significant data is summarized in Table 5.

Association of Demographic Variables with the Outcome Variables. All demographic variables were analyzed through non-parametric statistical analysis except for age. Independent variables were analyzed in multiple groups as they were collected (i.e. race and ethnicity) and also dichotomized (i.e. Non-White vs. White) to augment specific group effects on the dependent variables. When determining change in knowledge, attitudes, and comfort, Kruskal-Wallis H-tests were conducted when analyzing three or more independent groups from demographic variables and Mann-Whitney U-tests when only two independent groups from demographic variables. Age was plotted against knowledge change, attitude change, and comfort change using Pearson correlation coefficients. All demographic variables were found to have non-significant associations with knowledge change, attitude change, and comfort change.

Open-ended Questions. All participants (N = 77) reported knowing someone personally whom identifies as LGBT+ and reported finding the educational module of benefit to them. The post-test instrument gave the participants an opportunity to discuss what benefits they see from this educational experience. Common discussion points of these responses centered around LGBT+ client education improving comfort, increasing knowledge, increasing awareness of health considerations, and improving ease of communication with LGBT+ clients.

One participant wrote, "I am a little hesitant with working with the LGBT community, not because of any prejudice, but more for fear of making someone feel uncomfortable or making a mistake in how a person would like to be identified. I think this course would really help make us students more comfortable, especially if we do not have a lot of experience working with this population." Another participant wrote about the improved awareness of LGBT+ client health concerns, as they were not aware of the vast majority of concerns identified within the educational curriculum. Furthermore, a participant wrote "I think that a quarter long class specific to the LGBTQ population should be part of the nursing curriculum." Common themes from these responses are listed in Table 6.

Discussion and Conclusion

The results from this study showed marked improvement in LGBT+ health knowledge following the educational intervention. Improvement in the MENP student's attitudes and comfort also occurred from pre-test to post-test data. The improvement of knowledge, more positive attitudes, and enhancement of comfort do mirror findings from previous studies that are found in the literature (Carabez et al., 2015; Cornelius & Carrick, 2015; Strong & Folse, 2015). Interestingly, the demographic variables collected did not have a statistically significant impact on knowledge, attitudes, or comfort. Previous research has linked some demographic variables to variations in change of knowledge, attitudes, and comfort. For example, higher levels of reported homophobia were found in individuals with high levels of religiosity and individuals identifying as male (Campo-Arias, Herazo, & Cogollo, 2010; Schlub & Martsolf, 1999) and nursing student homophobia their participant samples in general (Campo-Arias, Herazo, & Cogollo, 2010; Dastan, 2013). Participant religion, sexual orientation, and gender were not significantly correlated with variations in knowledge change, attitudes change, and comfort change. This ultimately means variations in religion, sexual orientation, and gender identity did not cause differences in pre-test to post-test change of knowledge, attitudes, and comfort.

Lacking in previous literature is the reporting of effect sizes. Not only is the statistical significance evident from knowledge change pre-test to post-test, the Cohen's *d* value suggests a large effect size of the LGBT+ health education. The change from pre-test to post-test with both attitudes and comfort was statistically significant, though the Cohen's *d* value for both variables suggests a small effect size. One contributing factor causing the smaller effect size with both

attitudes and comfort could be the lower scores (more positive) at baseline for these variables. At baseline, mean score for attitudes was calculated at 31.99 (range 16-80) and mean comfort was calculated at 22.21 (range 12-60). Both variables did have lower scores (more positive) on post-test and did not change noticeably, like knowledge, from pre-test to post-test. Lower baseline values could represent a sample more accepting of the LGBT+ client community. This would prove difficult to see post-test data change in the affective domain of learning. Given the difficulty of altering the affective domain of learning with only a lecture-style intervention, the use of a LGBT+ client simulation experience as an adjunctive educational intervention could improve attitudes and comfort more than a lecture style educational intervention alone by focusing on both psychomotor and affective domains. Further research could be geared towards the use of simulation to further improve student attitudes and comfort with LGBT+ clients.

The LGBT+ health consideration education was integrated into a MENP program which emphasizes community-based nursing in the curriculum. Integrating LGBT+ health education within the community health course in this MENP curriculum can enhance student learning outcomes that focus on community health. Learning outcomes in the community health course focus on health promotion, disease prevention and community health management. The aforementioned focuses are covered in detail in the LGBT+ health education intervention.

Based on these results, implementation of LGBT+ health considerations into a master's entry to nursing practice program is not only feasible in terms of needed classroom time but has positive outcomes for students. This study augments the previous studies in the literature that highlighted limited LGBT+ health knowledge of nursing students and the efficacy of a short, lecture-style educational intervention on improving knowledge, attitudes, and comfort. Furthermore, schools of nursing with graduate entry to practice programs should consider integration of LGBT+ health into their curriculum given the benefit shown by multiple studies in the literature. On top of the statistical evidence with the major test variables, the students felt this education increased their awareness of LGBT+ health considerations, improved their use and understanding of LGBT+ terminology, and improved the ease of providing care to LGBT+ clients. These findings supplement previous studies in which participants felt the education was of significant benefit to them as aspiring nurses.

Study Limitations

This study has some limitations in external validity given the context of the study sample population. The LGBT+ educational module was given to a specific population of MENP students in a large catholic, urban university. The sample was mainly younger students in their mid-20s, gender was heavily female, mostly Caucasian race, and most participants identified as Roman Catholic region.

Disclosures and Acknowledgements

The authors have no financial or personal disclosures to report. The authors would like to make acknowledgements to Sarah Hawn and Joseph Tariman for their editorial contributions, Charles Yingling for the permission to adapt his education curriculum for our use, and Judith Cornelius for allowing us to adapt her instrument for our use.

References

Attitude. (2004). In The Oxford English dictionary. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Billings, D. M., & Halstead, J. A. (2016). Teaching in nursing: A guide for faculty (5th ed.). St. Louis, MO: Elsevier.
- Bosse, J. D., Nesteby, J. A., & Randall, C. E. (2015). Integrating sexual minority health issues into a health assessment class. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, *31*(6), 498-507. doi:10.1016/j.profnurs.2015.04.007
- Campinha-Bacote, J. (2002). The process of cultural competence in the delivery of healthcare services: A model of care. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, *13*(3), 181-184. doi:10.1177/10459602013003003
- Campo-Arias, A., Herazo, E., & Cogollo, Z. (2010). Homophobia among nursing students. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da U S P, 44*(3), 839-843.
- Carabez, R., Pellegrini, M., Mankovitz, A., Eliason, M. J., & Dariotis, W. M. (2015). Nursing students' perceptions of their knowledge of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender issues: effectiveness of a multi-purpose assignment in a public health nursing class. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 54(1), 50-53. doi:10.3928/01484834-20141228-03

Comfort. (2004). In The Oxford English dictionary. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cornelius, J. B., & Carrick, J. (2015). A survey of nursing students' knowledge of and attitudes toward LGBT health care concerns. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 36(3), 176-178. doi:10.5480/13-1223

- Cornelius, J., & Carrick, J. (2008). Survey of nursing students' knowledge and attitudes regarding LGBT health care concerns [Abstract]. Retrieved from https://stti.confex.com/stti/congrs08/techprogram/paper_38998.htm
- Daştan, N. (2015). The attitudes of nursing students towards lesbians and gay males in Turkey. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 21(4), 376-382. doi:10.1111/ijn.12294
- Dinkel, S., Patzel, B., Mcguire, M. J., Rolfs, E., & Purcell, K. (2007). Measures of homophobia among nursing students and faculty: A midwestern perspective. *International Journal* of Nursing Education Scholarship, 4(1). doi:10.2202/1548-923x.1491
- Dorsen, C., & Devanter, N. V. (2016). Open arms, conflicted hearts: nurse-practitioners attitudes towards working with lesbian, gay and bisexual patients. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 25(23-24), 3716-3727. doi:10.1111/jocn.13464 Hallman and Duhamel, 2016
- Gates, G. (2012). How many people are lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender? Los Angeles, CA: The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law.

Knowledge. (2004). In The Oxford English dictionary. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Lim, F. A., & Hsu, R. (2016). Nursing students' attitudes toward lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender Persons. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 37(3), 144-152. doi:10.1097/01.nep.000000000000004
- Lim, F., Johnson, M., & Eliason, M. (2015). A national survey of faculty knowledge, experience, and readiness for teaching lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender health

in baccalaureate nursing programs. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, *36*(3), 144-152. doi:10.5480/14-1355

- Malinowski, A., & Stamler, L. L. (2002). *Comfort: Exploration of the concept in nursing. Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 39(6), 599-606. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2648.2002.02329.x
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(5), 674-697. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674
- Munoz, C. C., Dobroka, C. C., & Mohammad, S. (2009). Development of a multidisciplinary course in cultural competence for nursing and human service professions. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 48(9), 495-503. doi:10.3928/01484834-20090610-03
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2017). Nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice (10th ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer.
- Röndahl, G. (2009). Lesbians' and gay men's narratives about attitudes in nursing. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 23(1), 146-152. doi:10.1111/j.1471-6712.2008.00603.x
- Schlub, S. M., & Martsolf, D. S. (1999). Orthodox christian beliefs and homophobia in baccalaureate nursing students. *Nursing Forum*, 34(2), 15-22. doi:10.1111/j.1744-6198.1999.tb00982.x
- Sekoni, A. O., Gale, N. K., Manga-Atangana, B., Bhadhuri, A., & Jolly, K. (2017). The effects of educational curricula and training on LGBT-specific health issues for healthcare students and professionals: A mixed-method systematic review. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 20(1). doi:10.7448/ias.20.1.21624

- Strong, K. L., & Folse, V. N. (2014). Assessing undergraduate nursing students' knowledge, attitudes, and cultural competence in caring for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender patients. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 54(1), 45-49. doi:10.3928/01484834-20141224-07
- Unlu, H., Beduk, T., & Duyan, V. (2016). The attitudes of the undergraduate nursing students towards lesbian women and gay men. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 25(23-24), 3697-3706. doi:10.1111/jocn.13347
- Walker, K., Arbour, M., & Waryold, J. (2016). Educational strategies to help students provide respectful sexual and reproductive health care for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender Persons. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 61(6), 737-743.
 doi:10.1111/jmwh.12506
- Yingling, C. T., Cotler, K., & Hughes, T. L. (2017). Building nurses' capacity to address health inequities: incorporating lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender health content in a family nurse practitioner programme. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 26(17-18), 2807-2817. doi:10.1111/jocn.13707

Appendix A: Tables

LGBT+ Health Curriculum Outline	
General Assumptions	Gender Reassignment Therapies
Minority Stress Theory	Surveillance Recommendations
Terminology	General Considerations
Healthcare Access and Legal Considerations	Pregnancy Considerations
Epidemiology (Infectious, chronic disease, social)	Conducting a Sexual Health History
Depression	Interactive Case Study
Domestic Violence	Major Take Away Points

 Table 1: LGBT+ Health Intervention Curriculum Outline

Expanded Demographic Information of Participants		
Reported Gender	Number of Participants	Cumulative Percent
Male	13	16.9
Female	63	98.7
Other	1	100.0
Missing	0	100.0
Total included in analysis	77	100.0
Sexual Orientation	Number of Participants	Cumulative Percent
Bisexual	1	1.3
Lesbian/Gay/Homosexual	4	6.6
Heterosexual	70	98.7
Other	1	100.0

Missing	1	N/A
Total included in analysis	76	100.0
Race/Ethnicity	Number of Participants	Cumulative Percent
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	15.1
African-American/Black	8	26.0
Latino/Hispanic	6	34.2
Native American	0	34.2
White/Caucasian	42	91.8
Other	2	94.5
Multiple	4	100.0
Races/Ethnicities		
Missing	4	N/A
Total included in analysis	73	100.0
Religion	Number of Participants	Cumulative Percent
Atheist	5	6.8
Jewish	1	8.2
Muslim	3	12.3
Non-affiliated	10	26.0
Protestant	13	43.8
Roman Catholic	37	94.5
Other	4	100.0
Missing	4	N/A
Total included in analysis	73	100.0

Age (Years)		
n=74	Mode: 25	Max: 48
Mean: 27.74	Min: 24	Range: 24
Median: 26.00	Skewness: 2.357	Kurtosis 6.334

 Table 2. Full Demographic Data of Participants

Tests of Normality of Demographic Variables			
Variable	Shapiro-Wilk Value	Significance	Interpretation
Gender	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Sexual Orientation	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Race/Ethnicity	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Religious Identity	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Age	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Nonwhite/White	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Non-Hetero/Hetero	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Protestant and	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Muslim/ Non-			
Protestant and			
Muslim			
Catholic/Non-	0.000	Significant	Violates Assumptions
Catholic			

Table 3: Summary of Tests of Normality

v v o			
Variable	Test	P Value	Effect Size (Cohen's d)
Knowledge, Pre-test to Post-Test	Paired-T	< 0.001	2.515174
Attitudes, Pre-test to Post-Test	Paired-T	< 0.001	0.351333
Comfort, Pre-test to Post-Test	Paired-T	= 0.001	0.309729

Summary of Statistically Significant Results

Table 4. Statistically Significant Results

Summary of Non-Statistically Significant Results		
Variable (Number of	Dependent Variable (P Value)	
Categories)		
Gender (Three)	Knowledge (0.316), Attitudes (0.613), Comfort (0.474)	
Sexual Orientation (Four)	Knowledge (0.055), Attitudes (0.694), Comfort (0.550)	
Non-Hetero/Hetero (Two)	Knowledge (0.122), Attitudes (0.877), Comfort (0.215)	
Race/Ethnicity (Six)	Knowledge (0.534), Attitudes (0.685), Comfort (0.250)	
Non-White/White (Two)	Knowledge (0.699), Attitudes (0.617), Comfort (0.080)	
Religion (Seven)	Knowledge (0.441), Attitudes (0.684), Comfort (0.672)	
Catholic/Non-Catholic (two)	Knowledge (0.947), Attitudes (0.241), Comfort (0.613)	
Protestant and Muslim/Non-	Knowledge (0.832), Attitudes (0.749), Comfort (0.845)	
Protestant and Muslim (Two)		
Age	Knowledge (0.739), Attitudes (0.210), Comfort (0.172)	

Table 5. Non-significant Results.

Qualitative Response Results

Major Themes from Question "How will this education help your practice as a nurse?"

- Increased Comfort and Confidence with LGBTQ+ Clients
- Improved Understanding of Terminology
- Increased Knowledge of LGBTQ+ Health Considerations
- Increased Consciousness/Awareness of LGBTQ+ Client Needs and Heteronormative Bias
- Increased Ease of Interactions/Communication/Assessment of LGBTQ+ Clients

Table 6. Major Themes from Qualitative Question

Appendix B:



Figure 1: Campinha-Bacote's Process of Cultural Competence. Adapted from Munoz, DoBroka, and Mohammad, 2009.