

1-1-2010

'France' in An Encyclopedia of Infanticide. Ed. Brigitte Bechtold and Donna Cooper Graves. Edwin Mellen Press, 2010. 105-107.

Sara L. Kimble  
*DePaul University*, [skimble2@depaul.edu](mailto:skimble2@depaul.edu)

---

### Recommended Citation

Kimble, Sara L., "'France' in An Encyclopedia of Infanticide. Ed. Brigitte Bechtold and Donna Cooper Graves. Edwin Mellen Press, 2010. 105-107." (2010). *School for New Learning Faculty Publications*. Paper 32.  
<http://via.library.depaul.edu/snl-faculty-pubs/32>

This is brought to you for free and open access by the School for New Learning at Via Sapientiae. It has been accepted for inclusion in School for New Learning Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Via Sapientiae. For more information, please contact [mbernal2@depaul.edu](mailto:mbernal2@depaul.edu), [MHESS8@depaul.edu](mailto:MHESS8@depaul.edu).

# AN ENCYCLOPEDIA OF INFANTICIDE

Edited by  
Brigitte H. Bechtold  
and  
Donna Cooper Graves

The Edwin Mellen Press  
Lewiston • Queenston • Lampeter

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

An encyclopedia of infanticide / edited by Brigitte H. Bechhold and Donna Cooper Graves.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN-13: 978-0-7734-1402-0

ISBN-10: 0-7734-1402-9

I. Infanticide--Encyclopedias. I. Bechhold, Brigitte [H.] II. Graves, Donna Cooper.

HY6537.E53 2010

304.66803--dc22

2010035829

A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Front cover design: *Silent Victim*, multimedia by B. Bechhold

Copyright © 2010 Brigitte H. Bechhold and Donna Cooper Graves

All rights reserved. For information contact

The Edwin Mellen Press  
Box 450  
Lewiston, New York  
USA 14092-0450

The Edwin Mellen Press  
Box 67  
Queenston, Ontario  
CANADA L0S 1L0

The Edwin Mellen Press, Ltd.  
Lampeter, Ceredigion, Wales  
UNITED KINGDOM SA48 8LT

Printed in the United States of America

To my grandchildren

Chywna  
Benji  
Calypso  
Izzy

Collins, Jennifer. Department of Religion, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL; jac07w@fsu.edu

Conner, Susan. Office of the Provost and Department of History, Albion College, Albion MI; sconner@albion.edu

Davis, Mary Ann. Department of Sociology, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville TX; MAD011@SHSU.EDU

De Gaia, Susan. Department of History, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles CA; sdegaia@gmail.com

DeLuijse, Dolores. Borough of Manhattan Community College/City University of New York (CUNY), New York NY; deluiseny@aol.com

Den Boer, Andrea. School of Politics and International Relations, University of Kent, United Kingdom; A.Den-Boer@kent.ac.uk

Denham, Aaron Renfrew. Department of Anthropology, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff AZ; aaron.denham@nau.edu

Deusch, James I. Curator, Smithsonian Center for Folklife and Cultural Heritage, Washington, DC; deuschj@si.edu

Dora-Lasky, Prathim Maya. University of Oxford, United Kingdom; prathim.maya.doralasky@gmail.com

Drixler, Fabian. Department of History, Yale University, New Haven CT; Fabian.druxler@yale.edu

Einarsdóttir, Jónína. Department of Anthropology, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland; je@hi.is

Farrell, Elaine. School of History and Anthropology, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Ireland; efarrel103@qub.ac.uk

Fischer, Karin. Department of English, Bentley University, Waltham MA; kfischer1@bentley.edu

Fogel, Curtis. Department of Sociology, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay ON, Canada; fogelc@hotmail.com

Fronius, Helen. Keeble College, Oxford, United Kingdom; Helen.fronius@keble.ox.ac.uk

Galley, Janet McShane. Department of History, University of Guelph ON, Canada; janet.galley@yahoo.ca

Giuliani, Donna C. Sociology, Delta College, University Center MI; domngiuliani@dela.edu

Glass, Betty J. University Library, University of Nevada, Reno NV; glass@unr.edu

Grey, Daniel. Department of History, Roehampton University, United Kingdom; djgrey@yahoo.com

Halman, H. Talat. Department of Philosophy and Religion, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant MI; hahmah@cmich.edu

Hayburn, Timothy J. Department of History, Penn State Brandywine University, Media PA; th115@psu.edu

Hockmann, Daniel. Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster, Germany; daniel\_hockmann@yahoo.de

Hudson, Valerie M. Department of Political Science, Brigham Young University, Provo UT; Valerie\_hudson@byu.edu

Hund, Andrew. Department of Sociology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland OH; andrew.hund@case.edu

Hutchins, Dawn. Department of History, University of Tennessee Knoxville TN; dhutchi5@utk.edu

Johnson, Wendell. University Libraries, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL; wyjohnso1@niu.edu

Kimble, Sara L. Scholar in Residence, Newberry Library, Chicago IL; sara.kimble@gmail.com

Kowalsky, Sharon A. Department of History, Texas A&M University-Commerce TX; Sharon\_Kowalsky@tamu-commerce.edu

Kramar, Kirsten. Department of Sociology, University of Winnipeg MB, Canada; kkramar@shaw.ca

Kunper, Hiram. Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany; hiram.kunper@rub.de

Loughnan, Arlie. Faculty of Law, The University of Sydney, Australia; A.Loughnan@usyd.edu.au

Maksudyán, Nazan. AÇI High School, Istanbul Turkey; maksudyan@gmail.com

Martinez, Michelle M. Newton Gresham Library, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville TX; MMAM034@SHSU.EDU

Because of the abuses of church-, state-, and privately-run foundling home resources, the people began to question the use, both moral and practical, of the *tour* and of open admissions policies. Some argued that the *tour* too easily encouraged parents to disregard their parental obligations. Others argued that, while the *tour* was intended to prevent infanticide, it did not help those women who lived in the countryside as foundling homes with *tours* were too far away to prevent death immediately after birth. Additionally, homes were not prepared to adequately care for the thousands of children they received each year. Thus the foundling home was still needed for women with illegitimate infants, but the *tour* and open admissions had created an unmanageable situation. The *tour* began to fall out of use in Western Europe throughout the first half of the nineteenth century, and by 1878 only 33 of the 69 provinces in Italy still used them. With the disappearance of the *tour*, open admissions policies often disappeared as well.

Mortality rates at foundling homes were extraordinarily high on account of the overwhelming number of children housed in small spaces during the most vulnerable years of life. From London to Paris to Moscow and throughout Italy in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, mortality rates for children in their first year ranged from 80% to 98%. Communicable disease spread quickly between the multiple children who shared cramped beds and malnutrition was also common on account of a lack of necessary supplies. In order to alleviate some of the risk to the children and in order to create more space at the homes, infants were typically assigned to wet nurses who lived in the countryside and were sent to stay at their homes. Families that continued to foster the child throughout their youth were then often compensated by the state. Older boys still residing at foundling homes were quickly apprenticed, while older girls were given a small dowry and were married off as soon as possible.

Some foundling homes were established in the United States in the mid-nineteenth century and remained in use through the early twentieth. U.S. foundling homes encountered the same obstacles as European ones at their inception: disease and malnutrition. In the early twentieth century, the United States began to move away from institutionalized care and toward the foster system because government officials and pediatricians agreed that foundling homes had failed to adequately provide for the physical and emotional needs of children. *See also: illegitimacy, medieval period, nineteenth century, wet nursing.*

**Works cited and further reading.** Bengtsson, Tommy and Osamu Saito. *Population and Economy: From Hunger to Modern Economic Growth*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000. Boswell, John. *The Kindness of Strangers: The Abandonment of Children in Western Europe from Late Antiquity to the Renaissance*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1988. Cunningham, Hugh. *Children and Childhood in Western Society since 1500*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Harlow, UK: Pearson Education Limited, 2005. Panter-Brick, Catherine and Malcolm T. Smith, eds. *Abandoned Children*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. Tilly, Louise A., Rachel G. Fuchs, David I. Kertzer, and David L. Ransel. "Child Abandonment in European History: A Symposium." *Journal of Family History* 17 (1992): 1-23.

JENNIFER COLLINS

**Foundling wheel.** *See: infant depositories, tour d'abandon.*

**France.** Infanticide in France was a profound social problem from the ancien régime to the twentieth century, and the threat of capital punishment did little to deter it. The eventual decline of the practice corresponded with the introduction of welfare programs to aid the indigent, the availability of contraception, and the increased social acceptance of children born outside of wedlock.

Legal efforts to abolish infanticide date from 1556 when a royal edict required all unmarried women to make a declaration of pregnancy and to announce the birth within three days of delivery or face punishment. Infants who died prior to the declaration of birth were considered "stillborn." Children of unwed mothers were more likely to die in these initial days due to mothers' poverty, lack of prenatal care, and poor health. In ancien-régime France, infanticide carried the death penalty. The law was briefly liberalized in 1791 during the French Revolution as attitudes changed. Jacobin leader Maximilien Robespierre, for example, believed that the law should compel a father to provide child support as a means to prevent infanticide and abandonment. In the conservative Napoleonic era, however, paternity suits were prohibited (1804) and the death penalty was reinstated in infanticide cases (1810). Following a case in 1811, women who were acquitted of infanticide by juries could still face a judicially-mandated punishment.

**Foundling homes,** with their attached *tours* into which infants could be legally deposited, prevented many women from turning to illegal and hazardous alternatives of fertility control. Historian Rachel G. Fuchs reports that fatal crimes against infants declined after 1861 because of the ease of **abandonment**, a de-

cline in prosecution, the spread of contraceptive knowledge, and increased emotional investment in parenting. Foundling homes were not havens as infant mortality therein was shockingly high as a result of poor hygiene and inadequate care. Historian June Burton uncovered that founding hospital medical staff studied infant deaths to develop a scientific understanding of accidental versus deliberate infant mortality.

Scholars rely on judicial records to analyze infanticide as the exact numbers of these often private events remain unknown. Nineteenth-century court records suggest that the women most likely to be prosecuted for infanticide were too impoverished to afford a midwife, unable to deliver in a public hospital due to residency requirements, isolated from family, or gave birth unassisted. Unmarried female domestic servants were especially vulnerable to male sexual violence in the workplace and the consequence of unwanted pregnancies. The shame of illegitimacy, and the failure of men to provide for their lovers, led some women to seek abortion and others to infanticide when all else failed. The absence of reliable contraception and the prohibition on paternity suits (until 1912) likely compounded some women's desperation. Unlike today, nineteenth-century infanticide trials rarely included expert medical testimony on women's mental or physical postpartum state. Nevertheless, historian James Donovan found that all-male juries were often sympathetic to women's plight and reluctant to assign harsh penalties.

Infanticide became a critical public health concern at the end of the nineteenth century as the precipitous decline in the national birth rate threatened France's status as a world power. The statistical significance of infanticide was studied by sociologist Brigitte Bechohd who found a measurable difference in secondary sex ratios, and that this difference related to legitimacy status of infants born and to other socio-economic conditions. To combat the declining birth rate, the republican government concluded that the state owed protection to infants through insurance and welfare to facilitate all children's survival. During World War I, the French government permitted women who had been raped by foreign soldiers to give birth anonymously and surrender their infants to public care in the hopes that this policy would prevent neonaticide.

Feminists had long criticized double moral standards and the inability of the law to hold men responsible for their children, especially illegitimate ones. Physician and feminist Madeleine Pelletier argued in 1911 that women should have the right to control their own bodies and the safe and legal medical means to

terminate unwanted pregnancies would end the tragedy of infanticide. Feminists condemned the law passed in 1920 that suppressed contraception and abortion as exacerbating women's unequal burden. Social critics pointed out that the legalization of paternity suits in 1912 was too restrictive to aid many unmarried mothers. After a long campaign, the women's rights movement secured the legalization of contraceptive devices in 1967 and abortion in 1975. The 1993 law of "childbirth under X," permits women to give birth anonymously and without fees in public hospitals to protect their identity and the newborn's life.

Infanticide occurs rarely today, and then only among mothers who are severely depressed, neurotic, or in distress. In a recent tragic case, Véronique Courjaul, a middle-class married mother, was found guilty of three counts of maternal filicide. Psychiatrists testified that she suffered from a neurosis called denial of pregnancy. She was sentenced to eight years in prison. See also: Napoleonic law.

**Works cited and further reading.** Audi, Nadim. "Women Sentenced in Trials That Gripped France." *New York Times*, June 18, 2009. Bechohd, Brigitte. "Infanticide in 19th-Century France: A Quantitative Interpretation." *Review of Radical Political Economics* 33 (2001): 165-187. Burton, June K. *Napoleon and the Woman Question: Discourses of the Other Sex in French Education, Medicine, and Medical Law*. Lubbock: Texas Tech University Press, 2007. Donovan, James M. "Infanticide and the Juries in France, 1825-1913." *Journal of Family History* 16 (1991): 157-176. Fuchs, Rachel Gimins. *Abandoned Children: Foundlings and Child Welfare in Nineteenth-Century France*. New York: SUNY, 1984. Pelletier, Madeleine. *L'émancipation sexuelle de la femme*. Paris: Giard et Brière, 1911.

SARA L. KIMBLE

**Freud, Sigmund (1856-1939).** An Austrian neurologist, Freud stands as one of the most significant figures in the development of social and psychological theories. Founder of the psychoanalytic school of psychology, Freud is noted for highlighting the significance of the unconscious mind and subconscious desires in influencing human activity as well as the role of repression, as a defense mechanism, in the development of personal identity and social relations. Freud is also noted for his clinical methodology of psychoanalysis in which psychopathology is addressed through dialogue between patient and analyst, often using dream analysis. Despite intense criticism and refutation of many of his ideas, Freud's